

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

HECTOR ODAR, on behalf of himself and  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-v-

MCM a/k/a MIDLAND CREDIT  
MANAGEMENT, INC. and JOHN DOES 1-  
25,

Defendant.

Civil Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**CIVIL ACTION**

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT  
AND  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, HECTOR ODAR (hereinafter, “Plaintiff”), a New Jersey resident, brings this class action complaint by and through his attorneys, Marcus Law, LLC, against Defendant MCM a/k/a MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC.(hereinafter “Defendant”), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff’s counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff’s personal knowledge.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

3. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New Jersey consumers seeking redress for Defendant’s actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a

debt.

4. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (“FDCPA”) which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
5. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

### **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New Jersey, and is a “Consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
7. Defendant is a collection agency with its principal office located at 8875 Aero Drive, Suite 200, San Diego, California 92123.
8. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
9. Defendant is a “debt collector,” as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

11. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter “FRCP”) Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following New Jersey consumer class (the “Class”):
  - All New Jersey consumers who were sent collection letters and/or notice from

Defendant attempting to collect an obligation owed to or allegedly owed that contain at least one of the alleged violations arising from Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692 *et seq.*.

- The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.

12. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

- Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
  - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
  - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
  - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to

be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and

d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.

- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed proceed to without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

- Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

### **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

13. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “12” herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
14. Some time prior to May 01, 2015, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Onemain Financial, Inc. (“OFI”)
15. The OFI obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
16. The alleged OFI obligation is a “debt” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
17. OFI is a “creditor” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).
18. Defendant contends that the OFI debt is past due.
19. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.
20. OFI directly or through an intermediary contracted Defendant to collect the OFI debt.
21. On or about May 01, 2015, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff a collection letter in an attempt to collect the alleged OFI debt. *See Exhibit A.*
22. The May 01, 2015 letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

23. The May 01, 2015 letter (“Letter”) is a “communication” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).

24. The Letter states in part;

“If we don’t hear from you or receive payment by 06-15-2015, we may proceed with forwarding this account to an attorney in your state for possible litigation.

**What do you need to do to stop this process from continuing?**

1) Mail in \$750.00, or

2) Call to see how to qualify for discounts and payment plans.”

25. The Letter fails to state that the Plaintiff can also dispute the validity of the debt and/or ask for verification, and/or prove that the alleged debt is not owed, all of which would also “stop this process from continuing.”

26. The validation notice lies on the reverse side of the letter, mixed in with multiple other notifications, some of which is in bold and capitalized lettering.

27. The validation notice is not indented, not in bold, not stated separately, and can clearly get lost in the minutia and boilerplate language, most of which does not apply to a New Jersey consumer.

28. As such, the validation notice is inconspicuous.

29. Defendant’s actions as described herein are part of a pattern and practice used to collect consumer debts.

30. Defendant could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure compliance with the law.

31. On information and belief, Defendant sent a written communication, in the form annexed

hereto as **Exhibit A** to at least 50 natural persons in the State of New Jersey within one year of the date of this Complaint.

**COUNT I**

**VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT  
15 U.S.C. §1692g *et seq.***

32. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “31” herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

33. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692g, a debt collector:

- (a) Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing –
  - (1) The amount of the debt;
  - (2) The name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
  - (3) A statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt-collector;
  - (4) A statement that the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
  - (5) A statement that, upon the consumer’s written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

34. Under §1692g, it is not enough for a debt collector to merely include the validation notice. The validation notice may not be either "overshadowed" or contradicted by other language or material in the letter.

35. The Defendant violated 1692g by using language in the letter that would overshadow the

Plaintiff's validation rights, specifically that Plaintiff had only two options to prevent the Defendant from sending the file to an attorney, and then placing the validation notice inconspicuously on the reverse side of the letter, mixed in with multiple other notices, some of which are in bold and capitalized.

36. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692g *et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Ari Marcus, Esq. and Yitzchak Zelman, Esq., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: December 21, 2015

/s/ Ari Marcus  
Ari Marcus, Esq.  
MARCUS & ZELMAN, LLC  
1500 Allaire Avenue, Suite 101  
Ocean, New Jersey 07712  
(732) 695-3282 telephone



(732) 298-6256 facsimile  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

/s/ Yitzchak Zelman  
Yitzchak Zelman, Esq.  
MARCUS & ZELMAN, LLC  
1500 Allaire Avenue, Suite 101  
Ocean, New Jersey 07712  
(732) 695-3282 telephone  
(732) 298-6256 facsimile  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 21, 2015

/s/ Ari Marcus  
Ari Marcus, Esq.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2**

I, Ari H. Marcus, the undersigned attorney of record for Plaintiff, do hereby certify to my own knowledge and based upon information available to me at my office, the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action now pending in any court or in any arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: December 21, 2015

/s/ Ari Marcus  
Ari Marcus, Esq.